# Natural Resources & Waste Development Plan Document – "Post Submission Changes"

## Report to Executive Board 11th April 2012

#### **Further Changes**

#### 1. Context

1.1 Following the preparation of the above report for Executive Board and consideration by the Development Plan Panel, further work has been completed which has the consequence of requiring further changes (to the post submission changes 21 1.12, appended to the Executive Board report).

### 2. Further Changes

- 2.1 These changes have arisen as a consequence of the need to complete a **Sustainability Appraisal** (see attached Addendum) of the post submission changes (a requirement of the LDF Regulations and in order to be compliant with the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive). In addition, on 2<sup>nd</sup> of April (see attached letter), the City Council has been invited by the Inspector **to review the DPD in the light of the changes to National policy brought about by the Framework and Planning Policy for Travellers Sites** (see attached schedule).
- 2.2 Based on this further work, with the exception of the SA recommendations made in relation to the monitoring of Minerals 2 (Minerals Safeguarding Areas (MSA) Sand & Gravel and text wording changes in relation to proposed Change No. 10, the SA conclusions are recommended to Executive Board. With regard to these suggested changes, the proposed indicator to monitor the MSA within the urban area, is not felt to be practicable or realistic. In respect of the revised wording relating to the Wharfe Valley, it is not considered that this is necessary, as the intent of this is covered in the existing supporting text and through the detailed scope of Minerals Policy 10, which sets out detailed criteria for the consideration of Applications for Mineral Development.
- 2.3 Following the review of the DPD against the NPPF and Planning Policy for Travellers, other than minor wording changes to refer to the NPPF (rather than extant PPSs), it is not considered that any other changes are necessary which might affect soundness.

#### 3. Conclusion & Recommendation

- 3.1 Based upon the further technical work outlined above, Executive Board Members are Recommended to:
  - i) Agree the conclusions of the Sustainability Appraisal Addendum and with the exception of the points detailed in para. 2.2 above, accept the SA's recommedations and for these subsequent changes, to be consolidated as part of the post submission changes (detailed in the 21.1.12. schedule),

Agree the conclusions of the review of the DPD against the NPPF and Planning Policy for Travellers, as the City Council's response to the Inspector's request of  $2^{nd}$  April. ii)